

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/779,748	02/09/2001	Kunio Nomura	Q63076	5874
7590 10/17/2005		EXAMINER		
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN			MILIA, MARK R	
MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.		,	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, Do			2622	
•			DATE MAILED: 10/17/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/779,748 NOMURA, KUNIO		
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	eal Brief Examiner Art Unit	Art Unit	
	Mark R. Milia	2622	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address	
REPLY FILED 06 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE 1	THIS APPLICATION IN CON	IDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.	
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or this application, applicant must timely file one of the for places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in con-	ollowing replies: (1) an amer Notice of Appeal (with appe	dment, affidavit, or other evidence, which al fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or	

THE 1. 🛛 following time periods: a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). **NOTICE OF APPEAL** 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on \_ . A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: \_\_\_\_\_. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: \_\_ Claim(s) rejected: \_ Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: \_\_\_\_ AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. 🛛 The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See attached explanation. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_ 13. 💢 Other: 🔀

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's amendment was received on 9/6/05, but has not been entered because it does not place the application in condition for allowance.

## Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 9/6/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding the rejection of claims 1 and 9 and the proposed amendments thereto, the applicant asserts that the reference of Motosugi fails to teach "wherein the editing step is operative to effect a scaling process to fit the image located in the image extracted area of the second original to the image composited area of the first original by comparing the size of the image composited area with the size of the image extracted area." and "wherein the editing device is operative to compare a size of an image composited area of the first original indicated by the coordinate input device with a size of an image extracted area of the second original, thereby effecting a scaling process operative to fit an image located in the image extracted area to the image composited area." because the term "scaling" is known to involve enlarging or reducing the size of an object. The Examiner respectfully disagrees with the applicant as the

Art Unit: 2622

reference of Motosugi does teach such limitations. More specifically, the claim recites the phrase "scaling process" and the term "scaling" is also defined as "the act of measuring or arranging or adjusting according to a scale" (see <a href="http://wordnet.princeton.edu">http://wordnet.princeton.edu</a>, reference is also hereby attached), which is what the reference of Motosugi discloses. Motosugi compares the composite image size with the extracted image size and essential performs a scaling process to decide which is the larger image and then places the smaller image on top of the larger image. Particularly, Motosugi discloses a "scaling process" between the two images. Therefore, Motosugi still anticipates the claim limitation as it serves the same purpose and function.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark R. Milia whose telephone number is (571) 272-7408. The examiner can normally be reached M-F 8:00am-4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Coles can be reached at (571) 272-7402. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2622

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark R. Milia Examiner Art Unit 2622

MRM

C' TISORY PATENT TAMINER